

Message

---

**From:** Jim Herrington [Herrington.JimLNDU@usepa.onmicrosoft.com]  
**Sent:** 1/23/2013 6:17:08 PM  
**To:** Fontenot, Alison [Fontenot.Alison@epa.gov]  
**CC:** Barbara Aldridge [Aldridge.BarbaraLNDU@usepa.onmicrosoft.com]; Sharon Parrish [Parrish.SharonLNDU@usepa.onmicrosoft.com]  
**Subject:** Re: US 181 Harbor Bridge

Alison,

Thanks for the summary. Yes, I heard the discussion about the West alternative and I pointed out these potential impacts in the first meeting. It is good that they eliminated the Blue and Tunnel options for safety reasons.

Thanks,  
Jim

**From:** Alison Kitto/R6/USEPA/US  
**To:** Jim Herrington/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Barbara Aldridge/R6/USEPA/US@EPA  
**Cc:** Sharon Parrish/R6/USEPA/US@EPA  
**Date:** 01/23/2013 11:53 AM  
**Subject:** US 181 Harbor Bridge

Jim & Barbara,

As you may have anticipated, there's really no concrete info about wetland/aquatic impacts of this project yet, other than the fact that the Blue and Tunnel alternatives aren't being considered, which would have had the most impacts.

Jim, I think you may have been off the call by then, but one of the project managers said that the West alternative is the one that has the most potential impact - including a portion of the Rincon Channel Wetland Observation area & of course issues with crossing the DMPA. He said wetland delineation for alternatives will start soon & be part of Chapter 4 (Environmental consequences). Chapter 3 will start to address wetlands as part of the affected environment, but more concrete info will be included in Ch 4.

Alison Kitto  
ORISE Fellow  
USEPA Region 6  
Wetlands Section, 6WQ-EM  
1445 Ross Ave, Dallas, TX 75202  
Phone (214) 665-7482